

INDIANA GAMING COMMISSION
REGULAR MEETING

8:30 A.M., February 2, 1995
Gold Room, Vanderburgh Auditorium
Evansville, Indiana

MINUTES

Part 1

PRESENT: Commission members Alan Klineman, Chair; Ann Marie Bochnowski, Vice-Chair; Gilmer Gene Hensley, Secretary; Thomas F. Milcarek; David Ross, M.D.; Robert Sundwick; Donald Raymond Vowels; Staff members Jack Thar, Floyd Hannon, Kay Fleming, Judy Greene, Pam Ayres, and an audience.

Call to Order and Roll Call

Chairman Alan Klineman called the meeting to order at 8:47 a.m. noting that all Commission members were in attendance; a quorum was present.

Approval of the Minutes of the December 9, 1994, Meeting

The minutes were approved unanimously on motion by Bob Sundwick, second by Ann Bochnowski.

New Business

Rules

Chairman Klineman indicated the only item of business currently on the agenda and the primary reason for having a business meeting in Evansville was to adopt the Indiana Gaming Commission rules previously distributed to the commissioners at their December meeting. The public had had until December 30, 1994, for public comment prior to publication. Chairman Klineman explained the excursion rule would not be considered for adoption at this time. The proposed rules were unanimously adopted on motion by Bob Sundwick, second by Gene Hensley. They will be published in the Indiana Register on March 1. The formal comment public hearing will take place on March 27. **Resolution 1995-1, A Resolution Adopting Article 1, Rule 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9; Article 2, Rules 3 and 7; Article 8, Rule 2; Article 9, Rules 1, 2, 3, and 4, (see attached)** was unanimously approved. The Commission will

operate under the rules pending final promulgation.

Next Meeting

Chairman Klineman explained that all other items of business will be postponed until the February 10, 1995, meeting at 11:00 a.m. (Indpls. time) in the auditorium of the Indiana Govt. Center South. Meeting recessed on motion by Gene Hensley, second by Ann Bochnowski at approximately 8:54 a.m.

MINUTES

PART 2

**INDIANA GAMING COMMISSION
REGULAR MEETING
CONTINUATION**

**11:00 A.M., February 10, 1995
Indiana Government Center South
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204**

PRESENT: Commission members Alan Klineman, Chair; Ann Marie Bochnowski, Vice-chair; Gilmer Gene Hensley, Secretary; Thomas F. Milcarek; David Ross, M.D.; Robert Sundwick; Donald Raymond Vowels; Staff members Jack Thar, Floyd Hannon, Kay Fleming, Judy Greene, Pam Ayres, Frank Brady, Phil Beck, Michelle Marsden, Joanne Yeager, and an audience.

Call to Order and Roll Call

Chairman Alan Klineman re-started the regular meeting of the Indiana Gaming Commission at 11:03 a.m., February 10, 1995. He noted that all the Commission members were in attendance and a quorum was present.

Report of the Executive Director

Executive Director Jack Thar introduced two additional Indiana Gaming Commission staff: Phil Beck, Controller, and Michelle Marsden, Secretary. Mr. Beck is a C.P.A. and has worked at the State Auditor's office. An addition to the secretarial staff, Ms. Marsden has recently been employed at the Missouri Department of Revenue.

The deadlines for the filing of Part 1 of the Riverboat applications for Harrison and Crawford Counties were

January 9, 1995. The original applicant prior to the referendum, Crawford County Casino Corporation, has been joined by Patoka Lake Gaming Enterprises, Inc. in Crawford County. Executive Director Thar indicated the new applicant is applying only for Patoka Lake, not for an Ohio River site. Harrison County has five applicants: a) Madison Landing Associates, Inc., which transferred from Jefferson County; b) New Albany Company, LLC, which transferred from Floyd County; c) Harrison County Gaming Company, LLC; d) Horseshoe Casinos (Indiana), Inc.; and e) Harco Entertainment Company, LLC, formerly Riverboat Development, Inc.

Executive Director Thar reported that Perry County's deadline was February 9 at noon. Because no additional applicant applied, the original applicant, Perry County Riverboat, LP, is the only applicant. To date the total amount of applicants who have applied for licenses in the State is 64, which includes active applicants in counties where the referendum passed, inactive applicants in counties where the referendum failed, and reorganized applicants. Each has paid at least a \$50,000 application fee.

The Memorandum of Understanding with the Indiana Department of Natural Resources has now been finalized and signed by all pertinent parties. The Memorandum of Understanding with the Indiana State Police concerning the manning of the riverboats is in the procedural process of being reviewed and signed off on by the Budget Agency, the State Personnel Department, and the Attorney General's office.

Indiana Gaming Commission staff are in the final stages of the procurement process for the contracting with outside experts to aid in the rule-making process in such areas as internal controls and electronic gaming devices.

Executive Director Thar reported that the Indiana Gaming Commission is beginning to receive applications for supplier licenses since the awarding of the certificates of suitability in Gary; the present number is seven.

Activities among applicants primarily in the Southeast portion of Indiana are:

- A. Two applicants have provided notice of a change in financing -- 1. Ameristar (in Lawrenceburg in Dearborn County) which has provided notice of a change in its financing from a \$100 million line of credit to a \$100 million bond indebtedness through investment banks;

2. Alpha Rising Sun (in Rising Sun in Ohio County) from financing in conjunction with Hospitality Franchising Systems to \$110 million via a \$55 million subordinated convertible debenture offering, \$33 million in first mortgage notes, and the remainder of the financing from operating cash flow.

Rising Sun Riverboat Casino & Resort, LLC, also an applicant for Rising Sun in Ohio County, has advised the Indiana Gaming Commission that they have had a change in equity ownership -- an equity partner has dropped out. The main equity partners have picked up the percentage difference. They have advised that their financing remains 100% through a bond offering. Executive Director Thar reported that to date, all of these changes have been integrated into the background investigations.

B. In December of 1994, National Gaming Corporation (NGC) and Par-A-Dice Gaming Corp. and Par-A-Dice Riverboat Casino, Inc. (Par-A-Dice) announced their intention to consummate a sale of Par-A-Dice to NGC subject to various approvals including approval of the transfer of the ownership of Par-A-Dice by the Illinois Gaming Board. To date, the sale has not been consummated, pending the necessary approvals. Nevertheless, the sale and a subsequent definitive statement of intent to merge between NGC and Boomtown have impacted applicant status in the southeast portion of the state, as follows:

1. In Switzerland County the two pending applicants prior to any announcements were Pinnacle Gaming Development Corp. and Swiss Par-A-Dice, L.P. At the time of the announcement of the purchase of Par-A-Dice by NGC, NGC was to have a 75% equity interest in Pinnacle and would then subsequently step into the shoes of Par-A-Dice, which translates to obtaining an 82% limited partner interest and a 1% general partner interest in the Swiss Par-A-Dice application. Needless to say, such an action precludes this Commission from issuing a license to both applicants because of what is popularly known as the 1.1 rule.

2. Subsequent to the NGC/Par-A-Dice announcement,

Boomtown and NGC announced that they had entered into a definitive statement to merge the two companies subject to various approvals, including approvals from various gaming regulatory bodies. Boomtown is presently an applicant in Dearborn County (Lawrenceburg) in a proposed joint venture with another applicant, SES (Lawrenceburg/Aurora) in a proposal which would give each of those two applicants a 90% interest in one license and a 10% interest in the license of the other. Executive Director Thar pointed out that needless to say, this seemed to complicate the applicant situation in the southeast portion of the state. However, things have been somewhat clarified and the present situation is as follows:

Pinnacle and NGC have reached an agreement whereby Pinnacle will remain a viable applicant in Switzerland County without any present change in its application. Should Pinnacle receive a license, NGC will stay the same or adjust its interest depending on other licensing decisions by the Commission with regard to other applicants.

Mr. Thar reported the other affected applicant is Swiss Par-A-Dice which is requesting the Commission to allow Hollywood Casino to take over the interest held by Par-A-Dice. this request will be taken up in the New Business portion of today's meeting.

The Boomtown/SES joint venture application is presently not affected by either the proposed purchase of Par-A-Dice or the proposed merger.

- C. Also in the southeast section of Indiana is the issue of Golden Nugget Lawrenceburg, Inc., which was formerly an applicant in Dearborn County (Lawrenceburg). Golden

Nugget voluntarily requested to withdraw its application on September 30, 1994, which request was granted by the Indiana Gaming Commission on October 7, 1994, in Resolution 1994-33. Golden Nugget is requesting that the Commission reactivate its application, which Executive Director Thar indicated would also be discussed in the New Business portion of the meeting.

The final item of the Executive Director's report was an update with regard to the developments in the City of Gary. Executive Director Thar explained that these fall into two areas. The first area indicates what he considers to be positive -- to date, the developers have exhibited a very well coordinated working relationship with each other and with the city which has produced a written intention between the developers to enter into a joint venture in certain aspects of the development, as well as a development agreement between the two. The developers and the City of Gary have exchanged rough drafts of an agreement between the city and the developers as to the relationships between the three. Numerous meetings have occurred between the developers and contractors concerning the overall design and construction for both the temporary and the permanent facility at Buffington Harbor. The Corps of Engineers' concerns have been discussed and meetings have occurred. The applicants have either begun preparation or started steps toward the acquisition of vessels to be utilized for riverboat gaming. From a staff point of view, this aspect of the efforts of the developers and the city has been very constructive and commendable. Staff has maintained weekly conference calls with both the developers and the city over the various issues that have arisen as well as meeting with them or having additional conference calls as needed.

Executive Director Thar indicated there is a problem area, however. This problem area bleeds into all the other aspects of the development. There is yet to be any acquisition of any property in the City of Gary for which riverboat development can commence. This aspect of the Gary development situation is an agenda item in the New Business section of this meeting.

Copies of the Executive Director's report will be made available to the Commission members.

Chairman Alan Klineman indicated that a different format is being used for the February 10, 1995 IGC business meeting. After the conclusion of the regular business meeting, the Commission will take a short lunch break, after which the proceedings will commence to award the Evansville Certificate of Suitability.

Old Business

No Old Business pending.

New Business

I. Request for Commission to Allow Exploration of an Alternative Site for Riverboat Gambling Operation in the City of Gary

Chairman Klineman reported that proposed Resolution 1995-2, A Resolution Regarding The Request of Barden PRC-Gary, LLC, Trump Hotels and Casino Resorts, Inc., and the City of Gary to Explore an Alternative Site for the Location of a Riverboat Gambling Operation would be introduced by Executive Director Jack Thar.

As part of the efforts of the developers and the City of Gary, efforts were made to meet with and negotiate with Lehigh Portland Cement Company at a preliminary meeting in Allentown, Pennsylvania, where developers reported that a total breakdown in open negotiation occurred. Lehigh requested 23 million dollars for the property, cash up front. As a result, negotiations completely broke down and have not resumed.

Executive Director Thar indicated that the City, in the meantime, with help by the developers, has continued their pursuit of the condemnation act against the Lehigh property. This is believed to be the only current route to guarantee a title which is free and clear. Projections of when the condemnation will occur and the land will be in the hands of the developers vary from 90 to 180 days.

As a result, the developers and the City have explored the option of an alternative site on land which is presently controlled by the Little Calumet River Basin Development Commission. The land lies within the city limits of Gary and sits just off of I-65. Mr. Thar reported that this land appears to hold certain advantages over the Buffington Harbor location.

Trump Casinos Resorts and Barden-President Riverboat Casinos have formally requested (as well as the City of Gary through their City Council) that the Gaming Commission approve their request to explore the possibility of using the other site with the requirement that within thirty days, or no later than two days before the next meeting, whichever comes first, they are to report back in writing to the Commission as to the results of their exploration and be prepared to answer any questions which the Commission might have at the next meeting. Mr. Thar invited commissioners to direct any inquiries to the developers as both they and the city representatives were in attendance. Commissioner Gene Hensley questioned why the limitation of only selecting this

one site. Executive Director Thar explained in looking at the requirements that it has to be on a navigable body of water with legal access to Lake Michigan, this site appears to be workable. Spokespersons from both developers indicted their desire to explore this particular site. However, riverboat access to Lake Michigan is prevented by various obstructions, i.e., bridges, etc.

President representative David Friedman explained that the current purpose is not to try and define a site or not to determine the legality of a site. This is something that would be the next step, after it is determined that a site is even useable from the developers' perspective. At this time, it is just exploratory. The second point is that none of their obligations would be modified. Mr. Friedman indicated that this is reflected in their letter of intent that was signed both by the Trump organization and Bardon-President. It is also reflected in the latest draft of the joint developer agreement. They take their obligation very seriously. Under any scenario, Mr. Friedman indicated that the same amount of money would be spent on either site and the same level and quality of development.

Discussion followed regarding the condemnation suit against the Lehigh property on behalf of the City of Gary. The bottom line is it should take between 60 to 120 days for some type of resolution. MacArthur Drake, Special Counsel to the City of Gary, explained that the defendant had asked that the suit be remanded from the state court to the federal court.

David Friedman indicated that the Bardon-President boat is sitting in Lake Erie and for all intents and purposes is complete and ready to go, awaiting only the installation of gaming equipment. If another site is used, a different vessel, a different type of boat would be necessary. The Trump boat would also not be useable at an alternative site. Representatives will not be closing on that vessel until the site is selected.

Executive Director Thar reported one other aspect of this issue. The Indiana Gaming Commission staff have received two letters from groups objecting to this procedure and requesting that if the Commission is going to allow the holders of the Gary Certificates of Suitability to use an alternate site, the Commission ought to re-open the whole Gary issue, which would include having hearings as to who the potential licensees would be for these sites.

The first letter was filed by the law offices of William E. Davis & Associates of Gary, Indiana, by Julian B. Allen on behalf of the Riverfront Rainbow Casino and Development Group, LLC. Mr. Thar

explained that it had originally been part of the Riviera group and the Commission had denied that application because of the lack of the applicant to provide certain information. Subsequently, some of the principals organized another group (Riverfront Rainbow Casino and Development Group, LLC) and provided an application that was well after any deadline dates that had been established. That application had been rejected. In any event, Mr. Eugene H. Dibble III and Mr. Murphy of that organization spoke with the Commission on September 2 during the course of the Gary hearings discussing their particular application. As a part of their letter, they believe that the Buffington site has been eliminated. They also believe that they are the ones who originally came up with using the Little Calumet River site. They have five points in their letter indicating that Buffington has been eliminated. They requested that Mr. Dibble be allowed to address the Commission at today's meeting.

Secondly, the Indiana Gaming Commission staff have received a letter from Monarch Casino and Resort, Inc., referred to by Mr. Thar as Dunes, an applicant during the Gary hearings. President Bob Farahi objects to the Commission's consideration of an alternate site until they have had an opportunity to present a new proposal with regard to any alternative sites.

Chairman Alan Klineman explained that both of these requests are premature because all the Commission is doing at this time is to offer the opportunity to the holders of the Certificates of Suitability to explore another site. He asked that the Resolution as written be changed to not limit it to the Little Calumet River site alone, but to open it up and insert "an alternate site." **Resolution 1995-2** was unanimously adopted with the word "grants" inserted to allow Barden PRC-Gary, LLC, Trump Hotels and Casino Resorts, Inc., and City of Gary permission to explore an alternative site to the Buffington Harbor site for the location of a riverboat gambling operation on motion by Ann Bochnowski, second by Tom Milcarek.

II. Request of Swiss Par-A-Dice to Amend its Application

Executive Director Jack Thar explained that attached to **Resolution 1995-3, A Resolution Concerning the Request of Swiss Par-A-Dice, L.P. to Substantively Amend Part I and Part II of its Indiana Riverboat Owner's License Application by Amending the Application to Reflect the Transfer of Certain Partnership Interests**, is a copy of a letter submitted by Attorney Doug Brown concerning their request to amend Part I and Part II of their riverboat application.

In essence, the purchase of Par-A-Dice by National Gaming Corporation (National), which has not as yet been consummated due to the necessity of getting approval, would mean that National would step into Par-A-Dice's shoes with regard to the Swiss Par-A-Dice application, giving an 82% limited partner interest and a 1% general partner interest, an overall 83% interest in the applicant. They have chosen not to pursue that application.

Under the partnership agreement they found and entered into an agreement with Hollywood Casino, which presently operates, among other things, the Hollywood Casino Riverboat operation in Aurora, Illinois. Hollywood had previously indicated that they are going to withdraw from their Michigan City operation which has no bearing on this decision.

Executive Director Jack Thar indicated that since the riverboat investigation has been completed for the original partnership, if Par-A-Dice Gaming Corporation and Par-A-Dice Riverboat Casino, Inc., were to transfer their respective partnership interests to HWCC Development Corporation, the wholly owned casino hotel development subsidiary of Hollywood Casino Corporation, another investigation would have to be initiated. The investigative process of Par-A-Dice would have to be re-done. New personal disclosure forms, applications, etc. would have to be submitted. Staff is not recommending that this be allowed. If the Commission denies this request, there would be one applicant in Switzerland County, Pinnacle Gaming Development Corp. (Pinnacle).

Pinnacle Gaming Development Corp. did file an objection to this request in their February 9, 1995 letter from Lewis & Kappes attorney, Rhonda L. Fuller. She referred to the Indiana Gaming Commission's September 8, 1994 letter with specific reference to the amendment deadline for applicants in Switzerland, Ohio and Dearborn Counties and indicating staff reluctance to recommend that the Commission accept any amendments after September 30, 1994.

Executive Director Thar referred to a February 9, 1995 letter from Doug Brown, Stewart & Irwin, setting forth his belief that it is not inconsistent for the Commission to grant this request. He cited several resolutions having been approved authorizing changes of applicants' ownership structures. Mr. Brown cited a precedent--Lakeside Resorts--which was allowed to change control only slightly more than four and one half months prior to the Commission's licensing hearings in Gary. Mr. Thar pointed out that these requests had appeared several months prior to the four-and-a-half-

months time period. The Hollywood request was brought to staff's attention on February 7, three days before this meeting. It is the staff's position that time lines cannot be upheld if this request is granted.

Discussion followed regarding the consequences of Swiss Par-A-Dice's request. Mr. Thar indicated that the background investigation for each applicant takes between sixty to ninety days. The applicant did indicate that it would pay any additional cost. The Indiana State Police have completed their background report on this applicant; Mr. Thar stated it would have to be scrapped. The investigation would have to be completely redone. The investigators would have to be pulled out of another county. He indicated that perhaps the hearings for the southeastern portion of the state could be set for much later.

Chairman Alan Klineman stated that the original time line was a pro forma idea to give the Commission staff time to prepare reports, etc. The schedule itself has not been put in stone; the Commission has not signed off on this schedule.

Commissioner Bob Sundwick suggested the possibility of moving the Switzerland County hearings to the end of the totem pole--putting them last in the State. Then there would be competition with two applicants. In all fairness, moving them might be good because it does not put any additional burden on the Gaming staff.

Executive Director Thar indicated he felt the solution was not in that area. To grant this request would slow down everyone behind it. To say that Hollywood wouldn't add something to the competition in southeast Indiana is a mistake. From what he knows about the priority investors, the limited partners, they are people who would put together a competitive package. The issue to him is more of timing--not timing for that part of the state, but timing with regard to the rest of the schedule.

Pinnacle Gaming Development attorney, Rhonda Fuller, asked to clarify Switzerland County's position. Her understanding of Switzerland County's position is that the Commission not take any action that would delay the scheduling of hearings.

Commissioners then requested an opportunity to review all the material in their packets including the various correspondence mentioned earlier. Chairman Klineman called for a recess of approximately ten minutes.

Chairman Alan Klineman re-opened the business meeting at 12:15 p.m. Further discussion followed regarding the requested transfer of partnership interests to Hollywood Casino Development Corporation.

Commissioner Don Vowels reiterated that a letter was sent to applicants with a deadline of September 30, 1994, during which time applicants could change within their framework. He read from the February 9, 1995 Switzerland County Council letter from Michael Jones, Council President, to the Indiana Gaming Commission that, "If the modifications proposed by our two applicants can be accommodated within your present investigation and licensing schedule for the southeastern Indiana counties, we would urge the Commission to give these modifications careful consideration." This seemed very qualified to him. "They're saying that we want you to allow this amendment as long as it doesn't delay the investigation and the licensing." Mr. Vowels indicated that it is going to delay it.

Spokesperson Craig Johnson, the consultant to the Switzerland County Council, stated that the Council's position is the same. Their February 9, 1995 letter accurately represents the decision that suggested modifications be accepted only if they can be accommodated within the schedule.

Upon motion by Donald Vowels, second by Bob Sundwick **Resolution 1995-3** was adopted to read that the action of the request for amendment be denied. The vote was unanimous.

III. Request of Golden Nugget of Lawrenceburg, Inc. to Re-activate Its Application

Executive Director Jack Thar reported that Golden Nugget's January 30, 1995 request that it be allowed to re-activate its application is attached to **Resolution 1995-4, A Resolution Concerning the Request of Golden Nugget to Reactivate its Application for a Riverboat Owner's License**. In summary, Golden Nugget's position is that it was frustrated by various events occurring in Indiana including the delay caused by the awaiting of the Supreme Court's decision on the constitutionality of the Indiana Gaming statute, certain frustrations with the negotiation process at the local levels, and they withdrew. In hindsight, they thought it may very well have been a mistake. They have tendered a \$55,000 cashier's check to satisfy the Commission's earlier request for the remittance of the investigative fee. The Indiana Gaming Commission staff have no position on this request. In terms of time line only, this has no impact. Staff are taking a neutral position and leaving the decision entirely up to the Commission.

Executive Director Thar added that both the President, Chief Financial Officer, and Chief Counsel of the Golden Nugget are available in the audience to take any questions the Commission might have.

Discussion followed regarding the deadline for the additional \$55,000 and when the original letter to withdraw appeared. Mr. Thar reported that the deadline was September 30, 1994, and the letter seeking permission to withdraw was received September 30, 1994. Their request to withdraw was approved by the Commission in their conference call meeting in October 1994.

Commissioners questioned whether or not any investigation of Golden Nugget had occurred prior to this date and if not, would this request also slow up the investigatory process. Mr. Thar pointed out that investigations are presently taking place in Dearborn County and from a time line standpoint, any deadline would not be impacted.

Barry A. Schier, President of the Golden Nugget, addressed the Commission. He clarified that timing became critical with respect to their property options which were scheduled to expire and the subsequent cost to exercise such options would have required a significant cash outlay when there was no certainty the Riverboat Gambling Act would be upheld. They would have never entered into the Lawrenceburg area without first being very serious about pursuing that particular jurisdiction. They were in the position of buying land when they were not in a position to know if riverboat gaming in Lawrenceburg would ever take place. Golden Nugget was in a position to make a decision. The September 30 deadline that was provided forced them into a corner where they had to decide to purchase this land unknowingly. Subsequently, on September 29, 1994, based on circumstances, they did ask the Gaming Commission to remove their application. Finally, in December of 1994, when the Constitutional issue was put to bed, they were in a position where if they acted quickly they could purchase the property. They purchased about 30% of the property based on the expired options. Golden Nugget is now in a position to purchase the remaining options. Mr. Schier indicated Golden Nugget's appreciation of the Gaming Commission's position and welcomed any consideration it could give them.

Executive Director Jack Thar added that Golden Nugget was the only operation to option a significant amount of downtown Lawrenceburg (three blocks) to interplay directly with the riverboat casino. Of the applicants, Mr. Thar indicated that Golden Nugget's proposed

plan would bring the downtown area into play in a more direct fashion than perhaps some of the other applications.

Executive Director Thar further explained that when the investigative teams are finished in a particular area, when the reports are done, and they have been able to focus their time on the next area, the Gaming staff sends out letters to the applicants in the area that is going to be investigated. The letter attempts to establish two things: 1) the deadline for any amendments, after which the staff would be very reluctant to recommend that the Commission accept any amendments, 2) it tells the applicants that by that same date they have to submit a \$55,000 fee. Golden Nugget in fact did request by that deadline date that they be allowed to withdraw.

Further, Mr. Thar indicated the staff views a substantive amendment as one which would require re-investigation and therefore Commission approval, as opposed to a form type of amendment which can be incorporated without Commission approval or additional investigation. It is fortunate that from a time standpoint, the investigations are just beginning in Dearborn County. It is a matter of great misfortune for Swiss Par-A-Dice that Switzerland County had already been completed.

Commissioner Don Vowels questioned if the Gaming Commission grants Golden Nugget's request then down the road another applicant would be able to make an educated guess as to whether or not they could have a good shot at doing an amendment. Commission Gene Hensley questioned its importance to staff.

Executive Director Jack Thar responded that as far as time, it is important to be consistent. Inconsistency is not fair to anyone.

Upon motion by Don Vowels, second by Dr. David Ross, **Resolution 1994-4** was unanimously approved to read that the request to reactivate the application for an Indiana Riverboat Gambling License received from Golden Nugget Corporation on January 30, 1995, was denied.

Other Business

No other business

Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Indiana Gaming Commission was set for

Friday, March 10, 1995, at 11:00 a.m. in the auditorium of the Indiana Government Center South.

Meeting adjourned on motion by Donald Vowels, second by Ann Bochnowski at 12:55 p.m. Chairman Klineman indicated that the Commission would re-assemble at 1:45 p.m. (Indianapolis time) to begin the final discussion process for the Evansville, Indiana, site.